

HYD 198 – Water Science and Design

Instructor: Helen E. Dahlke (hdahlke@ucdavis.edu, VH235, 530-302-5358)

Textbooks:

- *Water Resources Engineering 3rd edition*, Chin, Prentice Hall (2012)
- *Design Hydrology and Sedimentology for small catchments*. Haan, Barfield and Hayes, Academic Press (1994) (not in library)
- *Soil and Water conservation Engineering 4th ed.* Schwab, Fangmeier, Elliot and Frevert, John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (1993) (Library: Phy Sci Engr Library TC409 .H3 1994 Regular Loan)

References on Reserve:

- *Design of small dams*, US Dept. of Interior, Bureau of Land Reclamation
- *Open Channel hydraulics*, Chow

Lecture/Lab

Tuesdays, Thursdays (10:30 am to 12 pm), Lab: Thursdays (1-4 pm)

Course Description:

The purpose of this course is to teach basic design and analysis *as practiced* for water control and nonpoint source pollution prevention. We will discuss the origins of design approaches including their theoretical bases but this is not a theory course. Many design methods have an empirical basis and simplifying assumptions, generally because extensive data requirements for more complex physically robust analyses are not readily available.

The first two weeks of this course cover applied hydrology and for some of you these initial weeks will be a partial review, but for others this will be a relatively intense period.

Most of the course will be dedicated to practicing applied design. There are two aspects receiving focused attention: 1) applying scientific and engineering principles and methods to create designs and 2) presenting work in concise organized reports. Most assignments will be representative of real-life engineering problems and will involve as much hands-on experience as possible.

Lab/seminar afternoon sessions will be used for problem-solving, data gathering, or field trips. Although the labs/seminars are scheduled for a 3-hr period often only the first 1 to 1.5 hours or so will be used, except for the off-campus field trips which will generally require the whole period.

For this course you will be graded on both your technical ability and the presentation of your ideas.

Homework will be due 1 week after it is assigned. For each day late, 10% will be subtracted from your grade up to one week whereby the assignment will no longer be accepted.

Grade:

50% Homework Assignments and Pop Quizzes
20% Mid-term exam or Design Project. Due: TBA
30% Special Design Problem: Due: TBA

Tentative Syllabus:

Week	Lec.	Date	Topic	Lab
1	T	1/6/15	Course Overview, Risk Assessment	
	R	1/8/15	Engineering Hydrology Frequency Analysis, Storm Runoff Estimation	Campbell Tract
2	T	1/13/15	Runoff Reality Check	
	R	1/15/15	Hydraulic Design Fluid mechanics	Watershed Delineation & Runoff Calculation
3	T	1/20/15	Open Channel Hydraulics, Vegetated Waterways	
	R	1/22/15	Hydraulic structures; Pipe Spillways	South Campus Putah Creek
4	T	1/27/15	Drop Spillways, Chutes	
	R	1/29/15	Hydraulic Outlet Design	Lot 58 - spillway and culverts
5	T	2/3/15	Natural Channel Design	
	R	2/5/15	Water Quantity Control Flood Control	Midterm
6	T	2/10/15	Ponds, wetlands, detention basins	
	R	2/12/15	Level Pool Flood Routing	Arboretum water way
7	T	2/17/15	Water Supply Reservoirs	
	R	2/19/15	Hydrological Budget	Introduction of Final project
			Nonpoint Source Pollution	
8	T	2/24/15	Pollutants, Sources, and Controls; Determining NPS pollution loads	
	R	2/26/15	Sediment Control, Wetlands and Infiltration Basins (drains)	West Arboretum slopes or Garrod Road corral
9	T	3/3/15	Vegetation considerations, <i>real</i> runoff and riparian buffers	
	R	3/5/15	Non-structural NPS pollution controls	Surprise field trip
10	T	3/10/15		
	R	3/12/15	Final Project Due in class	

Text Reading Schedule – Most topics will be supplemented with handouts

	Chin (2012)	Tollner (2002)	Haan et al. (1994)	Schwab et al. (1993)
Course Overview – conservation and the Environment	Chapter 1			
Quantitative Hydrology				
Risk Assessment & Frequency Analysis (Rainfall)	344 – 421	20 – 43	38 – 54, 5 – 27	18 – 58
Storm Runoff Estimation	422 – 433		67 – 93	68 – 90
Runoff Reality Check	473 – 509			
Hydraulic Design				
Fluid Mech. & Hydraulics	9 – 46		104 – 114	
Open Channel Hydraulics	166 – 182	205 – 237	122 – 140	265 – 286
Vegetated Waterways	182 – 199	138 – 156	115 – 122	134 – 152
Hydraulics of Structures; Pipe Spillways	144, 250 - 275	291 – 327	144 – 179	182 – 193
Drop Spillways and Chutes	282 – 312	297 – 303		173 – 182
Impact Basins and Dissipators	312 – 318			
Stream Restoration				
Water Quality Control				
Flood Control	318 – 323			
Unit Hydrograph	495 – 509	329 – 369		194 – 232
Level Pool Flood Routing	520 – 533	335 – 341	182 – 201	232 – 244
Water Supply Reservoirs				
Hydrological Budget				
Evapotranspiration	624 – 654			
Nonpoint Source Pollution				
Pollutants, Sources, and Controls		177 – 203		
Determining NPS Pollution Loads				
Sediment Control		137 – 174	311 – 390	107 – 111
Best Management Practices		178 – 204		
<i>Real</i> Runoff and Riparian Buffers				
Wetlands				
Non-Structural NPS Pollution Controls				

Optional Texts

Tollner, *Natural Resources Engineering*, Iowa State Press (2002)

Haan, Barfield, and Hayes, *Design Hydrology and Sedimentology for Small Catchments*. Academic Press (1994)

Schwab, Fangmeier, Elliot and Frevert, *Soil and Water Conservation Engineering 4th ed.*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (1993)

HYD-198 Grading Rubric for Assignments and Projects

Score	5	4	3	2	0
PRESENTATION					
General Appearance (counts half)	Professional	Very Neat	Adequate	Homeworky	Messy
Organization (& Appendix)	Appropriate for audience; Lucid and easy to follow; Results are obvious	Good but not the best for the intended audience	Organization is not adequate for those outside of HYD145	Difficult to follow the organization or very cluttered	No obvious organization scheme
Figures	Appropriate # of figures; Well labeled; Easy to find; Easy to read	Figures are adequate but lacking in number and/or readability	Figures are hard to find and difficult to decipher	Figures are sloppy (e.g. axes unlabeled)	No Figures
Writing (counts double)	Clear and concise; Grammar good; Active language	Adequate but somewhat wordy and/or some language errors	Readable and understandable but not polished	Errors are very distracting	Undecipherable
Significant Figures/Digits and ref's, units, etc. (counts half)	Perfect	Good for the most part	Adequate, but not so great in one area	Not so great in multiple areas	Needs substantial improvement
CONTENT					
Results	Perfect	Inaccurate results but still reasonable, probably caused by a single, simple mistake	Inaccurate and unreasonable results caused by simple mistakes	Inaccurate and unreasonable results caused by multiple or serious mistakes	I have no idea how you go such screwy results
Approach	Perfect and/or very creative	Followed course instructions but made a few minor mistakes	Followed course instructions but made major mistakes	I can't completely understand what you did	I am completely confused
Calculations and Analysis	Appropriate for intended purpose	Some equations were either inappropriate or a few calculations were wrong	Substantial analytical problems	Serious mechanical problems with analyses	Graders debate whether or not you have been in class at all
Choice or determination of Parameters	Appropriate justification provided where ever necessary	Parameters are sketchy or not clearly justified in some areas	Often unclear how parameters were determined	Parameter choices and/or justification is consistently unclear	Parameters magically appear
Discussion	Good thoughts concerning results; Addressed all questions & more	Addressed all posed questions but some inadequately	Did not address all questions	Inadequately addressed some questions	No thoughts